

study.

**Acknowledgement.** This work has been supported by BSRI program (91-307), Ministry of Education, Korea.

## References

1. In writing the formula for an aqueous ion, we will omit coordinated water molecules except for Eq. (1).
2. Korean Research Foundation postdoctoral Fellow 1992.
3. J. M. Walshe, *Am. J. Med.*, **21**, 487 (1956).
4. J. M. Wright and E. Friden, *Bioinorg. Chem.*, **14**, 1728 (1975).
5. B. S. Hartly and J. M. Walshe, *Lancet*, 434 (1963).
6. W. K. Musker and C. H. Neagely, *Inorg. Chem.*, **14**, 1728 (1975).
7. P. J. M. W. L. Birker and H. C. Freeman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **99**, 6890 (1977).
8. Y. Sugiura and H. Tanalsu, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **18**, 368 (1970).
9. Y. H. Lee, S. N. Choi, M. A. Cho, and Y.-K. Kim, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.*, **11**, 281 (1990).
10. Y. H. Lee, J. A. Shim, and S. N. Choi, *J. Korean Chem. Soc.*, **35**, 429 (1991).
11. (a) C. M. Flynn Jr., *Chem. Rev.*, **84**, 31 (1984); (b) S. Mann, *Chem. Brit.*, 137 (1987).
12. (a) B. H. Klöe, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1901 (1986); (b) R. M. Milburn, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **79**, 537 (1957).
13. R. Schlögel and W. Jones, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1283 (1984).
14. R. F. Jameson, W. Linere, and A. Tschinkowitz, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 943 (1988).
15. R. F. Jameson, W. Linert, and A. Tschinkowitz, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2109 (1988).
16. T. J. Swift and R. E. Connick, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **37**, 307 (1962).
17. J. J. Vallon and A. Badinard, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **42**, 445 (1968).
18. I. M. Kolthoff and C. Barnum, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **62**, 3061 (1940).
19. J. E. Huheey, "Inorganic Chemistry", 3rd ed. Harper International.

# Ruthenium Complex Catalyzed Synthesis of 2-Substituted Benzoxazoles from *o*-Aminophenol and Alcohol with Spontaneous Hydrogen Evolution

Keun-Tae Huh\*

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Kyungsung University, Pusan 608-736

Sang Chul Shim

Department of Industrial Chemistry, Kyungpook National University, Taegu 702-701

Received April 16, 1993

*o*-Aminophenols react with alcohols in the presence of a catalytic amount of ruthenium catalyst at 180°C to give 2-substituted benzoxazole in good yield. The yields of 2-substituted benzoxazoles were affected by the yield of N-alkylation compound from *o*-aminophenol and alcohol as starting materials. During the reaction, a stoichiometric amount of hydrogen was spontaneously evolved into the gas phase.

## Introduction

Synthesis of benzoxazole derivatives from readily available starting materials have recently received some attention<sup>1</sup>. The synthesis of benzoxazoles often carried out by heating the *o*-aminophenol with the carboxylic acid or its derivatives, such as the acid chloride, anhydride, an ester, amide or nitrile<sup>2</sup>.


We have recently developed ruthenium complex catalyzed N-methylation<sup>3</sup>, N-alkylation<sup>4,5</sup>, N-heterocyclization of amines<sup>6-8</sup>, where the ruthenium complex efficiently activates alcohol functionalities to give nitrogen compounds.

Here we report synthesis of 2-substituted benzoxazoles, using transition metal complexes as a catalyst. The ruthenium complex catalyzed reaction between *o*-aminophenol and alcohols to give the corresponding 2-substituted benzoxazo-

les.

## Results and Discussion

*o*-Aminophenol (**1**) reacts with alcohol (**2**) in the presence of a catalytic amount of a ruthenium complex to give 2-substituted benzoxazoles (**3**) in good yield (Eq. 1).



**Table 1.** Effect of Molar Ratio and Temperature on the Synthesis of 2-Ethylbenzoxazole from *o*-Aminophenol and 1-Propanol<sup>a</sup>

Run	[Alcohol]/[Amine] <sup>b</sup>	Temp., °C	Product yield/% <sup>c</sup>
1	1.5	180	65 (58) <sup>d</sup>
2	2.0	180	52
3	2.5	180	41
4	3.0	180	27
5	4.0	180	13
6	1.5	200	65
7	1.5	150	59
8	1.5	120	21
9	1.0	180	49
10	0.5	180	26 <sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*o*-Aminophenol (2.2 g, 20 mmol), RuCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (198 mg, 0.2 mmol), dioxane (10 mL), reaction time; 5 h. <sup>b</sup>Molar ratio of 1-propanol to *o*-aminophenol. <sup>c</sup>Determined by GLC based on the amount of *o*-aminophenol used. <sup>d</sup>Isolated yield. <sup>e</sup>Determined by GLC based on the amount of 1-propanol used.

**Table 2.** Solvent Effect of the Synthesis of 2-Ethylbenzoxazole from *o*-Aminophenol and 1-Propanol<sup>a</sup>

Run	Solvent	Product yield/% <sup>b</sup>
1	dioxane	65 (58) <sup>c</sup>
11	diglyme	61
12	1,3-dimethyl-2-imidazolinone	49
13	1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone	37
14	N,N-dimethylformamide	10
15	acetonitrile	2
16	dimethylsulfoxide	0

<sup>a</sup>*o*-Aminophenol (2.2 g, 20 mmol), 1-propanol (2.2 mL, 30 mmol), RuCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (198 mg, 0.2 mmol), solvent (10 mL), at 180°C, 5 h. <sup>b</sup>Determined by GLC based on the amount of *o*-aminophenol used. <sup>c</sup>Isolated yield.

In order to optimize the reaction conditions effects of molar ratio and temperature were examined with *o*-aminophenol and 1-propanol as the substrate (Table 1). The yield of product, 2-ethylbenzoxazole, was considerably affected depending upon a molar ratio (runs 1-5, 9-10). The highest yield of 2-ethylbenzoxazole was realized at the molar ratio of 1.5 (run 1). As the molar ratio was changed from 1.5, the yield of 2-ethylbenzoxazole was significantly decreased. Instead, a large amount of N-alkylated products, N-propyl-*o*-aminophenol (**4**) and N,N-dipropyl-*o*-aminophenol (**5**) were isolated in 32% and 44% (run 5). The reaction temperature was to be higher than 160°C. At 120°C, the conversion rates were so low that the yield of 2-ethylbenzoxazole was considerably reduced (run 8).

The product yield was also affected by the solvent employed (Table 2). The highest yield was realized in dioxane (run 1). The reaction proceeded similar in diglyme. The reactions were considerably suppressed in N,N-dimethylformamide, acetonitrile, and dimethylsulfoxide which seemed to interact strongly with transition metal center (runs 14-16)<sup>9</sup>.

**Table 2.** Effect of Catalyst on the Synthesis of 2-Ethylbenzoxazole from *o*-Aminophenol and 1-Propanol<sup>a</sup>

Run	Catalyst	Product yield/% <sup>b</sup>
1	RuCl <sub>2</sub> (PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	66 (58) <sup>c</sup>
17	RuCl <sub>3</sub> · <i>n</i> H <sub>2</sub> O + 3PPh <sub>3</sub>	65
18	RuCl <sub>3</sub> · <i>n</i> H <sub>2</sub> O + 3PBu <sub>3</sub>	58
19	RuCl <sub>3</sub> · <i>n</i> H <sub>2</sub> O + 3PPt <sub>3</sub>	56
20	RuCl <sub>3</sub> · <i>n</i> H <sub>2</sub> O + 3P(Obu) <sub>3</sub>	17
21	RuCl <sub>3</sub> · <i>n</i> H <sub>2</sub> O + 1.5dppe	0
22	RuCl <sub>3</sub> · <i>n</i> H <sub>2</sub> O	0
23	—	0

<sup>a</sup>*o*-Aminophenol (2.2 g, 20 mmol), 1-propanol (2.2 mL, 30 mmol), Catalyst (0.2 mmol), dioxane (10 mL), at 180°C, 5 h. <sup>b</sup>Determined by GLC based on the amount of *o*-aminophenol used. <sup>c</sup>Isolated yield.


In this reaction, the catalyst precursor had a critical effect (Table 3). Dichlorotris(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium, RuCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, exhibited the highest activity, giving 2-ethylbenzoxazole in 66% yield (run 1). RuCl<sub>3</sub>·*n*H<sub>2</sub>O combined with PPh<sub>3</sub> showed the same catalytic activity as RuCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (run 17), suggesting that RuCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> is formed in situ from RuCl<sub>3</sub>·*n*H<sub>2</sub>O and PPh<sub>3</sub><sup>10</sup>. Ruthenium combined with PBu<sub>3</sub> or PET<sub>3</sub> showed similar catalytic activity (runs 18, 19). Ruthenium combined with P(OEt)<sub>3</sub> or bis(1,2-diphenylphosphino)ethane, DPPE, as ligands exhibited little or no activity (runs 20, 21). In the absence of either RuCl<sub>3</sub>·*n*H<sub>2</sub>O or phosphine, the substrates were recovered quantitatively (runs 22-23).

It is well-known that phosphorus (III) ligands modify or improve activities of transition metal catalyst<sup>11</sup>.

Under similar reaction conditions, rhodium and palladium complex such as RhCl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, RhH(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, PdCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> showed low catalytic activities giving only trace of 2-substituted benzoxazoles with low conversion of the substrates.

Other representative *o*-aminophenols reacted with alcohols in the same manner (Table 4). Methyl and chloro substituents on the phenyl ring did not affect the reaction and the corresponding 2-substituted benzoxazoles were obtained in good yields (runs 24-27). However, an attempted synthesis of benzoxazole itself from *o*-aminophenol and methanol was unsuccessful (run 28).

A possible reaction pathway for these reaction is postulated as follows (Eq. 2).

**Eq. (2)**

**Table 4.** Syntheses of 2-Substituted Benzoxazoles from *o*-Aminophenol and Alcohol<sup>a</sup>

Run	<i>o</i> -Aminophenol	Alcohol	Product	Yield/% <sup>b</sup>
24	2-amino-4-chlorophenol	propanol	5-chloro-2-ethylbenzoxazole	52 (38)
25	2-amino-4-methylphenol	propanol	2-ethyl-5-methylbenzoxazole	68 (61)
26	2-amino-5-methylphenol	propanol	2-ethyl-6-methylbenzoxazole	65
27	6-amino-2,4-dimethylphenol	propanol	5,7-dimethyl-2-ethylbenzoxazole	65 (62)
28	<i>o</i> -aminophenol	methanol	benzoxazole	0
29	<i>o</i> -aminophenol	ethanol	2-methylbenzoxazole	47
30	<i>o</i> -aminophenol	butanol	2-propylbenzoxazole	72
31	<i>o</i> -aminophenol	2-methyl-1-propanol	2-iso-propylbenzoxazole	62 (53)
32	<i>o</i> -aminophenol	3-methyl-1-butanol	2-(2-iso-butyl)-benzoxazole	57

<sup>a</sup> *o*-Aminophenol (20 mmol), alcohol (30 mmol), RuCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (198 mg, 0.2 mmol), dioxane (10 mL), at 180°C, 5 h. <sup>b</sup> Determined by GLC based on the amount of alcohol used. Figures in parentheses show isolated yields.

A hydroxy group of the alcohol (2) oxidatively coordinates to the active catalyst center. Oxidation pathways *via* alkoxohydrido complexes have been proposed by several authors<sup>12</sup>. Nucleophilic attack of the aminophenol (1) on the resulting aldehyde intermediate (6) yields the Schiff base complex (7). Benzoxazoles (3) are formed through intramolecular cyclization of Schiff base complex (7). A chelate intermediate of 7 should be a key species for the present N-heterocyclization. Otherwise N-alkyl-(4) or N,N-dialkyl-aminophenol (5) were obtained from hydrogenation of the Schiff base complex (7). In the present N-heterocyclization, the yield of the benzoxazole was appreciably affected by the molar ratio of *o*-aminophenol (1) to alcohol (2) (vide supra; Table 1, runs 1-5). 2-Ethylbenzoxazole was obtained in the highest yield at the molar ratio of 1.5 in the reaction of *o*-aminophenol and 1-propanol. Using excess of alcohol may be suppressive for formation of the chelate intermediate of 7.

## Experimental

Commercially available *o*-aminophenols, alcohols, PPh<sub>3</sub>, PBu<sub>3</sub>, PEt<sub>3</sub>, P(OBu)<sub>3</sub>, and the solvent were purified by either distillation or by recrystallization before use. Diphenylphosphinoethane (dppe) was purchased from Alfa Division and used without further purification. RuCl<sub>3</sub>·*n*H<sub>2</sub>O (mainly *n*=3) was purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries and used without further purification. RuCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub><sup>13</sup>, RhCl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub><sup>14</sup>, RhH(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub><sup>15</sup>, Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub><sup>16</sup>, PdCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub><sup>17</sup> were prepared according to literature procedures.

### General Procedure

A typical reaction of *o*-aminophenol with 1-propanol is described as a representative reaction procedure. A stainless steel reactor (50 mL, Taiatsu Glass Industry, TVS-1 type) containing a glass liner was used in the reaction. Under argon stream, dioxane (10 mL), *o*-aminophenol (2.2 g, 20 mmol), 1-propanol (2.2 mL, 30 mmol), and RuCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (192 mg, 0.20 mmol, 1.0 mol% based on *o*-aminophenol used) were added into the glass liner set in the reactor. After the reactor was sealed, an air purge was confirmed by three pressurization (10 atm)-depressurization sequences with argon. The reactor was heated to 180°C in 30 min in the mantle heater and thermostated at this temperature with stirring for 5 h. The reaction was terminated by rapid cooling and the reactor was discharged. Hydrogen evolution was measured by means

of buret and the gaseous was product was analysed by GLC (active carbon). The product was isolated from clear dark brown solution by vacuum distillation and a flash column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate=9:1, aluminium-oxide 90, Merck, Art. 1076). 2-Ethylbenzoxazole was isolated in 58% yield.

### Analytical Procedure

All boiling points and melting points were uncorrected. The products were identified by analysis of <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR and mass spectra, which were all identical with those of authentic samples. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra were obtained with a JEOL GSX-270 and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra at 25.05 MHz with a JEOL JNM FX-100 spectrometers. Samples were dissolved in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, and chemical shifts were expressed relative to Me<sub>4</sub>Si as an internal standard. Mass spectra were recorded with a VG 7070E. The GLC analysis was made by Varian Vista 6000 with a column (3 mm×3 m) packed with OV-17 on Chromosorb W, 60-80 mesh. In some cases, the yields of product were determined by the internal standard method according to the calibration curve obtained for each product in a separate experiment.

**2-ethylbenzoxazole.** Colorless oil; bp. 77°C (4.7 mmHg); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.24 (t, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.75 (q, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.11-7.69 (m, 4H, Ar); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR 15.7 (q, CH<sub>3</sub>), 30.1 (t, CH<sub>2</sub>), 111.4 (d), 121.0 (d), 125.3 (d), 125.9 (d), 140.1 (s), 149.5 (s), 151.8 (s); MS: *m/e* 147 (M<sup>+</sup>).

**5-chloro-2-ethylbenzoxazole.** Colorless oil; bp. 72°C (1.1 mmHg); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.28 (t, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.81 (q, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.31-8.12 (m, 3H, Ar); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR 16.1 (q, CH<sub>3</sub>), 30.3 (t, CH<sub>2</sub>), 114.4 (d), 124.3 (d), 127.8 (d), 137.6 (s), 141.1 (s), 154.7 (s), 151.8 (s); MS: *m/e* 181 (M<sup>+</sup>).

**2-ethyl-5-methylbenzoxazole.** Colorless oil; bp. 69°C (4.2 mmHg); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.23 (t, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.49 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.76 (q, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.19-7.53 (m, 3H, Ar); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR 15.6 (q, CH<sub>3</sub>), 22.4 (q, CH<sub>3</sub>), 30.0 (t, CH<sub>2</sub>), 112.3 (d), 121.9 (d), 128.4 (d), 140.1 (s), 140.9 (s), 150.7 (s), 152.6 (s); MS: *m/e* 161 (M<sup>+</sup>).

**2-ethyl-6-methylbenzoxazole.** Colorless oil; bp. 79°C (6.1 mmHg); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.22 (t, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.41 (q, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.71 (q, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.03-7.51 (m, 3H, Ar); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR 15.3 (q, CH<sub>3</sub>), 23.0 (q, CH<sub>3</sub>), 30.4 (t, CH<sub>2</sub>), 109.8 (d), 121.2 (d), 126.3 (d), 140.1 (s), 140.7 (s), 151.6 (s), 152.7 (s); MS: *m/e* 161 (M<sup>+</sup>).

**5,7-dimethyl-2-ethylbenzoxazole.** Colorless oil; bp.

53°C (0.9 mmHg);  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  1.20 (t, 3H,  $\text{CH}_3$ ), 2.38 (s, 3H,  $\text{CH}_3$ ), 2.50 (q, 3H,  $\text{CH}_3$ ), 2.74 (q, 2H,  $\text{CH}_2$ ), 6.94-7.31 (m, 2H, Ar);  $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$  15.5 (q,  $\text{CH}_3$ ), 22.6 (q,  $\text{CH}_3$ ), 23.7 (q,  $\text{CH}_3$ ), 30.2 (t,  $\text{CH}_2$ ), 120.9 (d), 124.7 (d), 136.3 (s), 140.1 (s), 143.2 (s), 150.9 (s), 153.4 (s); MS: m/e 175 ( $\text{M}^+$ ).

**2-methylbenzoxazole.** Colorless oil; bp. 65°C (4.5 mmHg);  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  2.61 (t, 3H,  $\text{CH}_3$ ), 7.13-7.76 (m, 4H, Ar);  $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$  22.3 (q,  $\text{CH}_3$ ), 111.6 (d), 121.1 (d), 125.2 (d), 126.2 (d), 140.4 (s), 149.9 (s), 152.7 (s); MS: m/e 133 ( $\text{M}^+$ ).

**2-propylbenzoxazole.** Colorless oil; bp. 63°C (3.1 mmHg);  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  0.92 (t, 3H,  $\text{CH}_3$ ), 1.69 (q, 2H,  $\text{CH}_2$ ), 2.63 (t, 2H,  $\text{CH}_2$ ), 7.10-7.63 (m, 4H, Ar);  $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$  13.9 (q,  $\text{CH}_3$ ), 25.0 (t,  $\text{CH}_2$ ), 37.4 (t,  $\text{CH}_2$ ), 111.3 (d), 121.2 (d), 125.5 (d), 140.1 (s), 149.6 (s), 151.9 (s); MS: m/e 161 ( $\text{M}^+$ ).

**2-iso-propylbenzoxazole.** Colorless oil; bp. 75°C (4.4 mmHg);  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  1.26 (d, 6H,  $2\text{CH}_3$ ), 2.94 (m, H, CH), 7.12-7.63 (m, 4H, Ar);  $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$  23.9 (q,  $2\text{CH}_3$ ), 34.6 (d, CH), 111.5 (d), 121.1 (d), 125.3 (d), 125.8 (d), 140.3 (s), 150.1 (s), 152.3 (s); MS: m/e 161 ( $\text{M}^+$ ).

**2-(2-iso-butyl)-benzoxazole.** Colorless oil; bp. 61°C (1.8 mmHg);  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  0.93 (d, 6H,  $2\text{CH}_3$ ), 1.89 (m, H, CH), 2.64 (d, 2H,  $\text{CH}_2$ ), 7.14-7.67 (m, 4H, Ar);  $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$  22.2 (q,  $2\text{CH}_3$ ), 30.1 (d, CH), 34.6 (t,  $\text{CH}_2$ ), 111.5 (d), 121.0 (d), 125.4 (d), 126.3 (d), 141.3 (s), 150.6 (s), 152.1 (s); MS: m/e 175 ( $\text{M}^+$ ).

**Acknowledgement.** We are grateful to Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (893-0306-006-2) for support of this research. Authors wish to express their appreciation to Prof. H. Alper and Y. Watanabe for their helpful discussions for the research.

## References

1. I. J. Turchi and M. J. S. Dewar, *Chem. Rev.*, **75**, 389 (1975).
2. K. H. Grellmann and E. Tauer, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **95**, 3104 (1973); Z. T. Fomum, P. D. Landor, S. R. Landor, and G. P. Mpango, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1101 (1975).
3. K.-T. Huh, Y. Tsuji, M. Kobayashi, F. Okuda, and Y. Watanabe, *Chem. Lett.*, 499 (1988).
4. K.-T. Huh, S. C. Shim, and C. H. Doh, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.*, **11**, 45 (1990).
5. Y. Watanabe, Y. Tsuji, H. Ige, Y. Ohsugi, and T. Ohta, *J. Org. Chem.*, **49**, 3359 (1984).
6. K.-T. Huh, Y. Tsuji, Y. Ohsugi, and Y. Watanabe, *J. Org. Chem.*, **50**, 1365 (1985).
7. K.-T. Huh, Y. Tsuji, and Y. Watanabe, *J. Org. Chem.*, **52**, 1673 (1987).
8. K.-T. Huh, Y. Tsuji, S. Kotachi, and Y. Watanabe, *J. Org. Chem.*, **55**, 580 (1990).
9. (a) T. Dunn and S. Buffagni, *Nature*, **188**, 937 (1960); (b) C. L. Rollinson and R. C. White, *Inorg. Chem.*, **1**, 281 (1962); (c) W. E. Bell, S. K. Madan, and J. E. Wills, *Inorg. Chem.*, **2**, 303 (1963).
10. C. A. Streuli, *Anal. Chem.*, **32**, 985 (1960).
11. "The Chemistry of Phosphorus", ed. J. Emsley and D. Hall, Harper & Row, London, 1976.
12. (a) G. Speier and L. Marko, *J. Organometall. Chem.*, **210**, 253 (1981); (b) S. Komiya and A. Yamamoto, *J. Mol. Cat.*, **5**, 279 (1979).
13. P. S. Hallman, T. A. Stephenson, and G. Wilkinson, *Inorg. Synth.*, **12**, 237 (1970).
14. J. A. Osborn and G. Wilkinson, *Inorg. Synth.*, **10**, 67 (1967).
15. N. Ahmad, J. J. Levison, S. D. Robinson, and M. F. Uttley, *Inorg. Synth.*, **15**, 58 (1974).
16. D. R. Coulson, *Inorg. Synth.*, **13**, 121 (1972).
17. J. Chatt and F. G. Mann, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1631 (1931).