# A Stereoselective Synthesis of C26-C36 Fragment of Arenicolide A 
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Recently, arenicolides A (1) and B (2) were isolated from the large-scale fermentation of the $S$. arenicola strain CNR005 and its relative stereochemical relationship except C-12, C-30, and C-31 chiral centers was proposed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, Mass, IR, UV, CD, chemical degradation methods. ${ }^{1}$ Arenicolides A (1) and B (2) are 26-membered macrolides with three conjugated dienes and nine chiral centers in the ring. There is one side chain which comprises the C-26 $\sim$ C-36 carbon chain with five consecutive chiral centers. Arenicolide A (1) also showed moderate anti-cancer activity toward the human colon adenocarcinoma cell line HCT-116 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} ; 30 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ mL ) and three cell lines in the National Cancer Institute, and no activity against antimicrobial assay using methicillinresistant $S$. aureus (MRSA) and vancomycinresistant $E$. faecium (VREF). ${ }^{1}$


Arenicolide C (3) was also isolated along with arenicolides $A(1)$ and $B(2)$. And we proposed that the cyclic ether moiety in $\mathbf{3}$ might be derived biologically from arenicolide A (1) via the acid-catalyzed opening of epoxide and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ type addition of the C-33 hydroxyl group. In this paper, we report the stereoselective synthesis of the plausible C-26 ~ C-36 side chain (10) of arenicolide $A(\mathbf{1})$ based on this assumption.


Retrosynthesis is summarized in Scheme 1. The homoallyl chiral center at C-31 of 4 would be introduced by asymmetric allylation of aldehyde. ${ }^{2}$ Conformational control from the
allylic 1,3-strain and approach of the epoxidizing reagent anti to the methoxy group in 5 should provide the desired stereochemistry of C-30 and C-31 epoxide in $4{ }^{3}$ Finally, diastereoselective 1,2 -syn aldol strategy of $\alpha$-methoyacetate moiety 6 would be used to construct the C-32 and C-33 chiral centers. ${ }^{4}$

The synthesis of target molecule $\mathbf{1 0}$ was summarized in Scheme 2. Evans-syn aldol reaction of $\alpha$-methoyacetate 6 with $n$-butanal provided the 1,2-syn aldol product in $96 \%$ yield, ${ }^{4}$ and the free $\beta$-hydroxyl group was treated by TBSOTf and 2,6-lutidine to afford the TBS-ether 7 in $84 \%$ yield. The chiral auxiliary group of 7 was removed by reduction with $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ in $94 \%$ yield, ${ }^{5}$ the resulting hydroxyl group was oxidized by Swern oxidation in $91 \%$, yield, and the resulting aldehyde was treated with stabilized Wittig reagent to afford the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester $\mathbf{8}$ in $92 \%$ yield. The ester group of $\mathbf{8}$ was reduced to primary alcohol by DIBAL in methylene chloride in 94\% yield and the diastereselective epoxidation by $m \mathrm{CPBA}$ provided the desired epoxide $\mathbf{9}$ and its isomer in $72 \% .{ }^{3}$ Swern


Scheme 1. Retrosynthesis


Scheme 2. Synthesis of C26-C36 Fragment (4). (a) $n$-Bu $u_{2} B O T f(1.5$ eq), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.6 \mathrm{eq})$, butyraldehyde ( 2.0 eq ), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{hr}, 96 \%$. (b) $\operatorname{TBSOTf}(1.2 \mathrm{eq})$, , 2,6-lutidine ( 2.0 eq ), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{hr}$, $84 \%$. (c) $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}(1.12 \mathrm{eq})$, water ( 1.12 eq ), ether, $\mathrm{rt}, 45 \mathrm{~min}, 94 \%$. (d) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}(2.5 \mathrm{eq}), \operatorname{DMSO}(4.5 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(7.5 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5$ $\mathrm{hr}, 91 \%$. (e) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}(2.5 \mathrm{eq})$, benzene, reflux, overnight, $92 \%$. (f) $\operatorname{DIBAL}(5.0 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{hr}, 94 \%$. (g) $m \mathrm{CPBA}(1.5$ eq), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}(3.0 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 18 \mathrm{hr}, 72 \%$. (h) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}(2.0 \mathrm{eq})$, DMSO (4.0 eq), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(5.0 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 40 \mathrm{~min}, 88 \%$. (i) (-)$\mathrm{Ipc}_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}(1.2 \mathrm{eq})$, allylmagnesium bromide ( 2.0 eq ), ether, -100 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{hr}, 67 \%$. (j) TBSOTf ( 1.5 eq ), 2,6-lutidine ( 2.0 eq ), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $30 \mathrm{~min}, 88 \%$.
oxidation of primary alcohol 9 (88\%) and chiral-ligand assisted asymmetric allylation of the resulting aldehyde $(67 \%)^{2}$ produced the homoallylic alcohol with the correct stereochemistry at C-29 in 67\% yield along with its isomer in $19 \%$ yield. Finally, protection of the secondary alcohol with TBSOTf and 2,6lutidine completed the synthesis of plausible C-26~C-36 side chain moiety (10) of arenicolide A (1).

The origin of diastereoselectivity in the epoxidation reaction can be rationalized by conformational preferences of conformation $\mathbf{A}$ over conformation $\mathbf{B}$ due to the $A^{1,3}$-strain. ${ }^{6}$ In addition, hydroxyl-group directed epoxidation ${ }^{7}$ and anti-periplanar approach of the electrophilic oxygen to the best $\sigma$ electron acceptor (methoxy group) ${ }^{8}$ clearly lead to the desired stereochemistry in 9 through the assembly A-1 over the A-2.


In order to further confirm the relative stereochemical relationship of epoxide 9 , the primary hydroxyl group of 9 was converted to the benzyl ether by treatment with sodium hydride and benzyl bromide in THF in 91\% yield, and the TBSether was deprotected by TBAF in THF to give the secondary alcohol 11 in $63 \%$ yield. After conversion of the secondary alcohol 11 to carbamate $\mathbf{1 2}$ by reaction with dimethylcarbamyl chloride in $87 \%$ yield, Intramolecular $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$-assisted epoxideopening and cyclization were carried out in methylene chloride to afford the cyclic carbonate $\mathbf{1 3}$ in $52 \%$ yield. ${ }^{9}$ NOE experiment of $\mathbf{1 3}$ confirmed the relative stereochemistry of $\mathbf{1 3}$ and therefore that of 9 , an intermediate in the synthesis of target molecule 10. ${ }^{10}$

In summary, the plausible C-26 ~ C-36 side chain 10 of arenicolide A (1) was prepared concisely and efficiently in 10 steps. The key steps are Evans 1,2-syn aldol reaction, diastereoselective epoxidation, and asymmetric allylation of aldehyde.
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Scheme 3. Confirmation of relative stereochemistry of epoxide 9. (a) $\mathrm{BnBr}(1.10 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{NaH}(1.10 \mathrm{eq}), n-\mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{NI}(0.40 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{hr}, 91 \%$. (b) TBAF ( 2.5 eq ), THF, rt, 3.5 hr , $63 \%$. (c) Dimethylcarbamyl chloride ( 1.5 eq ), NaH ( 1.2 eq ), DMAP ( 0.3 eq ), DMF, rt, $8 \mathrm{hr}, 87 \%$. (d) $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(1.6 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, overnight, $52 \%$.
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10. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+11.2(\mathrm{c}=0.0017 \mathrm{MeOH}){ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $5.86 \sim 5.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10 \sim 5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78 \sim 3.74(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29 \sim 3.27(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93 \sim 2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84 \sim$ $2.82(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45 \sim 2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33 \sim 2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66 \sim$ $1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50 \sim 1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.88$ (s, 9H), $0.087(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.079(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.059(\mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.035(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 135.276,117.008,80.291$, 76.892, 74.172, 60.052, 58.558, 39.179, 35.752, 26.227, 26.022, 13.352, -4.239,-4.287; IR (neat) 2949, 2930, 2857, 1470, 1378, 1243, 1104, 914, 831, 779, $660 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 495.3301$, found 495.3305 .
