# Studies on the Total Synthesis of Amphidinolide 0. A Stereoselective Synthesis of C3-C11 Fragment 
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The amphidinolides were isolated from the marine dinoflagellate Amphidinium sp., and Amphidinolide O (1) displayed potent in vitro cytotoxicity against L1210 marine leukemia cells and human epidermoid carcinoma KB cells ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}: 1.7$ and $3.6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively). ${ }^{1}$ Until now, the total synthesis of amphidinolide $\mathrm{J},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~K},{ }^{3}$ and $\mathrm{P}^{4}$ were reported by Williams' group, and many synthetic studies for amphidinolide $\mathrm{A},{ }^{5} \mathrm{~B},{ }^{6} \mathrm{C},{ }^{7} \mathrm{G},{ }^{8} \mathrm{H},{ }^{8}$ and $\mathrm{L}^{8,9}$ have been published. Recently, the synthesis of $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 17$ fragment $\mathbf{3}$ of amphidinolide O (1) was reported in this laboratory ${ }^{10}$ and we describe herein the diastereoselective synthesis of the other C3-C11 fragment $\mathbf{2 0}$ of amphidinolide $\mathrm{O}(\mathbf{1})$.
The retrosynthetic analysis of amphidinolide O (1) led to the C1-C11 fragment 2 and C12 C17 fragment 3 through cleavage of $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12$ bond (Scheme 1) as proposed in the our paper. ${ }^{10}$ The hemiketal moiety of fragment $\mathbf{2}$ was expected from the Weinreb amide $\mathbf{4}$, and the coupling reaction of an aldehyde 5 and vinyl organometallic compound 6 would provide the Weinreb amide 4. The amide 5 should be easily available via Evans asymmetric syn-aldol protocol.
First, Evans oxazolidinone 7 was treated successively with


Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic Analysis of Amphidinolide O (1).

[^0]$n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ ( 1.05 equiv.) and propionyl chloride ( 1.3 equiv.) to afford the carboximide $\mathbf{8}$ in $85 \%$ yield (Scheme 2). ${ }^{11}$ Enolization of $\mathbf{8}$ with $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ (1.05 equiv.) and Hunig's base ( 1.15 equiv.) was followed by reaction with the aldehyde 9 to provide the syn-aldol product $\mathbf{1 0}$ with high diastereoselectivity (> $97: 3$ by NMR analysis). ${ }^{12}$ The aldehyde 9 was prepared in two steps from 1,3-propanediol via selective protection of one primary alcohol with p-methoxybenzyl chloride and Swern oxidation of the remaining primary alcohol. ${ }^{13}$ The syn-aldol product $\mathbf{1 0}$ was successively treated with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-dimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride (5.0 equiv.) and $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{Me})_{3}$ ( 5.0 equiv.) to give the Weinreb amide $\mathbf{1 1}$ in $90 \%$ yield. ${ }^{14}$ Purification of $\mathbf{1 1}$ was facilitated by efficient crystallization of the recyclable oxazolidinone auxiliary 7 ( $80-90 \%$ ) from the reaction mixture. The hydroxyl group of 11 was then treated with TBSOTf ( 1.2 equiv.) and 2,6lutidine ( 2.0 equiv.) to provide the TBS ether $\mathbf{1 2}$ in $92 \%$ yield ${ }^{15}$ and the PMB group of $\mathbf{1 2}$ was deprotected with $10 \%$ $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ in ethyl acetate and ethanol at room temperature in $88 \%$ yield. ${ }^{16}$ And the primary alcohol 13 was oxidized by Swern protocol into the aldehyde 14 in $85 \%$ yield. ${ }^{17}$

Next, the vinyl stannane $\mathbf{1 5}$ was prepared from 3-butyn-1-


Scheme 2. Synthesis of C1-C11 fragment of amphidinolide O. (a) $n$-BuLi, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COCl}, \mathrm{THF},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 85 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}, i-$ $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h} ; 9, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, then $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{HN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{AlMe}_{3}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$; (d) TBSOTf, 2,6lutidine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 92 \%$; (e) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ $\mathrm{EtOH}(1: 1)$, rt, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 88 \%$ : (f) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$, DMSO, TEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 85 \%$.


Scheme 3. Synthesis of Tin Reagent 15. (a) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30$ $\min ; \mathrm{PMBCl}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~d}, 70 \%$; (b) ( $n-\mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{SnH}, \mathrm{AIBN}$, toluene, $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$.
ol in two step sequences (Scheme 3): PMB protection of alcohol with $p$-methoxybenzyl chloride ( 1.0 equiv.) in $\mathrm{DMF}^{18 \mathrm{a}}$ and hydrostannylation of the alkyne moiety with $n$ tributyltin hydride ( 1.5 equiv.) in the presence of a catalytic amount of AIBN. ${ }^{18 b}$
And the vinyl stannane $\mathbf{1 5}$ was lithiated with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ (1.5 equiv.) at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and the resulting lithium reagent was added to the aldehyde $\mathbf{1 4}$ to furnish the diastereomeric mixtures of secondary alcohols 16 in $70 \%$ yield (Scheme 4). ${ }^{19}$ The alcohols 16 were oxidized with Dess-Martin periodinane ( 1.3 equiv.) to give the ketone $\mathbf{1 7}$ in $84 \%$ yield, ${ }^{20}$ while oxidation of $\mathbf{1 6}$ with PCC or PDC resulted in significant isomerization at the $\alpha$-chiral center. Desilylation of the ketone $\mathbf{1 7}$ was achieved by $48 \%$ aqueous HF in acetonitrile ( $5: 95 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, leading to $\beta$-hydroxy ketone 18 in 65\% yield. A hydroxyl group-directed 1,3-antireduction of 18 with $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$ (1.5 equiv.) provided the 1,3-anti-diol 19 in $72 \%$ yield with moderate 1,3 -stereoselectivity (84:16). ${ }^{21}$ The diol 19 was then treated with 2,2dimethoxypropane ( 10.0 equiv.) in the presence of a catalytic amount of PPTS to give the acetonide $\mathbf{2 0}$ in $65 \%$ yield.


Scheme 4. Synthesis of C3-C11 fragment of amphidinolide O. (a) $n$-BuLi, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 20 min , then $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 40 \mathrm{~min}$; (E)- $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnCH}$ $=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OPMB}$ (15), $70 \%$; (b) DMP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$; (c) $48 \%$ aq. $\mathrm{HF} / \mathrm{MeCN}(5: 95), 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 65 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$, EtOAc, rt, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 72 \%$; (e) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}$, PPTS, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 65 \%$.


Scheme 5. Determination of relative stereochemistry of 1,3-anti acetonide 20.

The relative stereochemistries of 1,3-anti diol 19 and the acetonide $\mathbf{2 0}$ were determined unambiguously from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOE difference spectroscopy of the acetonide 20. As shown in Scheme 5, NOSEY correlations were observed between $\mathrm{C}_{5}{ }^{-}$ axial H and $\mathrm{C}_{6}$-equatorial $\mathrm{H}(5.19 \%), \mathrm{C}_{6}$-axial H and $\mathrm{C}_{7}-$ equatorial H (4.03\%), and $\mathrm{C}_{5}$-axial H and axial methyl group ( $5.86 \%$ ), which confirm the anti relationship between $\mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{H}$.

In summary, Weinreb amide 20, the C3-C11 fragment of Amphidinolide $O(\mathbf{1})$, was prepared stereoselectively via 11 step sequences in $4.0 \%$ overall yield.
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